
From: Poling, Jeanie (CPC)
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2018 10:04 AM
To: Christine Martinez Weibel
Subject: RE: EIR Public Scoping

Hello Ms. Weibel,

Thank you for your comments concerning the scope and focus of the EIR.

Sincerely,

Jeanie Poling

Senior Environmental Planner

San Francisco Planning Department
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415.575.9072 | www.sfplanning.org
[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)

From: Christine Martinez Weibel <prunella@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 12, 2018 7:10 AM
To: Poling, Jeanie (CPC) <jeanie.poling@sfgov.org>
Subject: EIR Public Scoping

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am a Sunnyside resident who attended the EIR Public Scoping Meeting on October 30, 2018. I am writing in support of Robert Hall's comments: in the EIR, please consider the impact of the development on existing flora and fauna. Additionally, please consider the environmental impact of any planned lawns.

1. As both San Francisco and the State of California now have biodiversity resolutions, I would like to see a biodiversity section in the EIR for this project. I am not a planner, but hope an evaluation would include, at a minimum, information such as

- A survey of existing plant life
- A survey of existing fauna, especially birds, and evaluation of the impact of the development on these populations. I hope that multiple surveys will be done at different times of year so that the site's use as a breeding habitat and migration stop can be understood.
- Quantifying the amount of existing open space, impact of its removal on bird populations

As a neighbor who sometimes walks on and behind the berm at the reservoir, I know there is a lot of Coyote Brush, which is a habitat plant for many insects. I have seen White-Crowned Sparrows and heard Flickers at the reservoir as well. As the reservoir is a large open space between Mount Davidson and San Bruno Mountain and adjacent to open spaces on the City College campus (notably, the horticultural area and Judson Hill), I do wonder about its use as both a breeding area and migratory stopping point for birds. I hope that the EIR will attempt to understand the use and importance of this site, and mitigate the loss of habitat if appropriate.

2. Additionally, I see that lawns are still listed as potential uses for both the Central Park and SFPUC open spaces. Instead of lawn, I would love to see a habitat garden to partially replace the loss of habitat that will result from

development of the reservoir.

Please also consider in the EIR:

- Water usage of different open space programming options.
- Herbicide usage of different open space programming options. As we are now using mixing groundwater into our drinking water supply, this is of concern to me.
- I am glad to see that a wind study was done and shown how unfeasible the previous Central Park design was, due to its exposure to onshore winds.
- However, given the new design of the Central Park, which is a narrow strip of open space between rows of tall buildings, please re-evaluate how shadows will affect the Central Park, and how this would affect the feasibility of lawn options.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Christine Weibel